

Katten Muchin Rosenman LLPRECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER575 Madison Avenue
New York, NY 10022-2585
212 940 8400 tel
212 940 8775 fax

FEB 16 2006

Facsimile

To	Company	Fax Number	Phone Number
1 Examiner Peter Pappas	United States Patent & Trademark Office	571-273-8300	
Date	Client/Matter Number		
February 16, 2006	100809-16216		
From	Attorney Number		
Brian E. Hennessey	40672		
Phone	Fax		
212-940-8800	212-940-8986		
Total number of pages, including cover letter: If you do not receive all of the pages, please call: (212) 940-8755			

Comments Re: Appln. No. 9/757,807

Attached is a copy of following:

1. Interview Agenda (2 pages)

Total 3 Pages (incl. cover)

Thank you.

For Messenger Department Use Only

Your fax has been sent. Attached is your original.

Date _____ Time _____

Signature _____

Important

This facsimile transmission contains information intended for the exclusive use of the individual or entity to whom it is addressed and may contain information that is proprietary, privileged, confidential and/or exempt from disclosure under applicable law.

If you are not the intended recipient (or an employee or agent responsible for delivering this facsimile transmission to the intended recipient), you are hereby notified that any copying, disclosure or distribution of this information may be subject to legal restriction or sanction. Please notify the sender by telephone to arrange for the return or destruction of the information and all copies.

Chicago

New York

Los Angeles

Washington, DC

Charlotte

Palo Alto

Newark

www.kmr.com

A Law Partnership Including Professional Corporations

41284423.01

Serial No.: 09/757,807

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Inventor : Nobuhiro Komata
Serial No. : 09/757,807
Filed : January 10, 2001
Title : **Method, Computer and Recording**
Examiner : Peter Pappas
Group Art Unit : 2671
Confirmation No. : 7356
Attorney Docket No. : SCEI 17.998 (100809-16216)

Certificate of Facsimile Transmission
I hereby certify that this document is being facsimile
transmitted to the Patent and Trademark Office
on the date shown below.

Signature
Date 2/16/06

February 16, 2006

INTERVIEW AGENDA

In response to the non-final Office Action dated November 16, 2005, the Applicant would like to discuss the following items in the interview scheduled for February 17, 2006, at 2 p.m.

II. REMARKS**A.**

Neither reference discloses or suggests that *a magnitude* of an output value obtained from a variable output pressure sensing means *determines the sequential rate at which the phrase components are displayed on the screen*, as recited in claims 1, 4, and 9.

B.

There does not appear to be any evidence of motivation to combine Blaskey and Armstrong. The Office Action asserts that the combination of the references provides greater

Serial No.: 09/757,807

control and precision in regard to the display of information. (Office Action; page 4, lines 1-4). The Office Action further cites to Armstrong in support of the combination. However, the cited section of Armstrong does not provide *a specific motivation to combine that reference with Blaskey*, and therefore the combination of Blaskey and Armstrong is not proper. The Examiner merely states an advantage of the present invention as a motivation to combine the references, and thus improperly resorts to hindsight reasoning in justifying the combination.

C.

Claim 8 is allowable since the disclosure in Blaskey relating to pressing two keys to causing scrolling and one key to pause the scrolling does not disclose or suggest phrase components being sequentially displayed in accordance with a rate of change between a previous pressure sensing output value and a current pressure sensing output value.